

**Minutes**  
**BUILDING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION**  
**Thursday, August 08, 2002**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**        JAN RUSSELL, JIM GOLDSWORTHY, NORMA HOWARD  
AND DAVID JONES

**MEMBERS ABSENT:**        DONNA DOTY, JOHN BROYLES TOMMY ROBINSON AND  
GEORGE SPICER

**STAFF PRESENT:**         ROY LEWIS, FIRE MARSHALL JODY HENRY AND MARCIA  
MCLAUGHLIN

**VISITORS:**                SEE LIST ON FILE

**First Item of Business.** Call to order and announce a Quorum present. The public hearing of the August 08, 2002, Building and Standards Commission was called to order at 4:00 p.m.

**Second Item of Business.** Consideration and approval of the minutes from the July 11, 2002 Building and Standards Commission Hearing. Jan Russell made the motion to approve the minutes of the July 11, 2002 Building and Standards Commission Hearing. Norma Howard seconded the motion and the motion passed with the following vote:

**AYES: Russell, Howard, Jones and Goldsworthy NAYS: 0 ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Spicer and Robinson ABSTENTIONS: 0.**

**Third Item of Business.** Oath of Office to be given to the new Members. No Action

**Forth Item of Business.** Open public hearing.

**Fifth Item of Business.** Report to the Commission on the investigation of the condition the structure located at 606 Mill Street. Case Number BS-01-04.

The Building Official stated that the property owner Mr. Jack Ollie was to report back within 60 days, and he is here to answer any questions you may have.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired of Mr. Ollie, did know how much longer it will take you to complete the job?

Mr. Ollie replied, a couple of more months.

**Sixth Item of Business.** Close the open meeting.

**Seventh Item of Business.** Commission discussion, consideration and appropriate action on the determination of the Building Official on the substandard condition of the structure(s) located at 606 Mill Street.

Jim Goldsworthy made the motion to remove this item from the agenda as long as there are no future complaints. David Jones seconded the motion and the motion passed with the following vote:

**AYES: Russell, Howard, Jones and Goldsworthy NAYS: 0 ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Spicer and Robinson ABSTENTIONS: 0.**

**Eighth item of Business.** Open Public hearing.

**Ninth Item of Business.** regarding the substandard condition of the structure (s) located at 314/ 316 West Scott Street, also know as 322 West Scott Street. Case number BS-99-28.

Report to the Commission by the Building Official on the investigation of the condition the structure located at 314/316 West Scott Street, also know as 322 West Scott Street.

The Building Official stated that he was passing around evidence pictures, now the bottom set are newer and the top set are the older ones. The first one shows that he secured across the back of the building, and most of the rest of these were the condition of the building right after the last meeting.

Mr Lewis stated I will read my notes that I have on this case. At the last meeting Mr. Hennesy was ordered to secure his property within ten days. It was stated during the course of the meeting several times that it would be ten days from the date of the meeting, and it was also stated in his order as ten days form the date of the meeting, which was on July 11, 2002. On July 17<sup>th</sup> I was in a meeting at the Civic Center when I was paged by my secretary Marcia McLaughlin, I called her on my cell phone and found out that Mr. Hennesy was in the office wanting a clarification on what the ten day part was. She thought it was ten days from the date of the letter, I instructed her that it was ten days from the hearing or July 21, as was stated in the order. While I was still on the phone Marcia told Mr. Hennesy that ten day part was from the date of the meeting, as it was stated in the order, I heard her say that and, I heard Mr. Hennesy thank her before he left the office, then I hung up.

On July 26, 2002 Mr. Hennesy came into the office to complain about the way 408 West Scott property had been secured. As he was leaving I mentioned that some of the City staff had noticed that the building at 314 and 316 had not been secured with in the ten day time limit. Mr. Hennesy then stated that his letter was dated July 16, 2002 and that his time was not up until that day, which was July 26, 2002. I had reminded him that Marcia had previously told him that the time was up on the 21<sup>st</sup> of July, and he said that was wrong and demanded to speak to Marcia. We went from my office up to the front desk where he asked her, and she told him that she had the time had expired on the 21. He was adamant that she had told him the ten days from the date of the letter. I finally stopped them from arguing about it and told Mr. Hennesy he would have an opportunity to restate his case at the next meeting. Mr. Hennesy then left the office, this was over heard by Mr. Vigil and another contractor that was in the office at that time.

On July 30<sup>th</sup> I checked the building, we are talking about the one at 314 & 316 West Scott Street. I found that some grass had been cut and some items or material that had been stored there had been moved, and that a wall had been built, that to me effectively sealed off the opening in the rear of the building, and in my opinion secured the property. It is in my opinion that Mr. Hennesy probably had the wall built on the 26<sup>th</sup> in an attempt to meet the dead line. The reason I think it was finished on that day , is that Mr. Vigil saw some people working there on his way home that night.

Another thing to consider is that even if we use the date of the letter and started counting on the 16<sup>th</sup> the ten days would expire on the 25<sup>th</sup> and if you start counting on the 17<sup>th</sup> which is probably when he would have received it then it would expire on the 26<sup>th</sup>. A check of the property at 7 A. M. on August the 5<sup>th</sup> revealed that little or no more work had been done on the property, and that the black car that was covered in debris in the back part of the building was still there. However enough debris had been removed from it so that it could be pulled out and removed. Mr. Hennesy has stated at different times that someone was supposed to come and get the car. The metal on the roof was not secured at this time. He has not attempted to apply for a permit since this episode at my office on the 26<sup>th</sup>. I have offered a couple of times to help him with his work and times schedule but he did not come to my office with the information needed to compose the schedule. A check of the property at 7:30 A. M. on August the 5<sup>th</sup> revealed that the conditions appeared to be the same. At approximately at 9:45 on August 7<sup>th</sup> that was yesterday, Mr. Hennesy called me at the office and asked if he could go ahead and work on the property without a permit? He stated as his attorney had told him that he could, I advised Mr. Hennesy that in my opinion that it would be a violation of the building code to do so. I told him that he should wait until to days meeting before he did anything besides nail down the loose metal on the roof. And that concludes my comments at this time.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, could Ms. Adams clarify for me the motion that was made and approved at the last meeting, as to what was to take place on this property?

Ms. Adams replied, the motion that was made basically it was a multi part motion it is incorporated within the order that was submitted the property owner basically telling him that he had to secured the within ten days of July 11<sup>th</sup> which would have been July 21<sup>st</sup> deadline, and then he was to have a representative or him self to obtain a building permit and the permit was to be obtained earliest possible time but no later than to this August 8<sup>th</sup>, 2002 meeting before this Commission. He was also to submit a detailed construction plan and scheduled construction prior to this meeting August 8<sup>th</sup>. He and his representative were also ordered to remove trash, junk and debris from the premises and to cut the grass, to a height of no less than 12 inches by the time of the next meeting which is today. He was to report back today. This is a different kind of situation, since we not necessarily have proof that he owns the property, but we have sent out.

Mr. Lewis stated, I believe that his Mother owns this property, the other one your thinking of is across the street.

Ms. Adams stated, that we have sent out notices to everyone that is involved here by this order.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, Mr. Hennesy would you like to make a comment here Sir.

Mr. Hennesy replied, It was a misunderstanding like he said about the 26<sup>th</sup>, because I understood them to say 10 days, and the people that work for me had other things to do. I can't do all that, because I had a heart attic back in May. The roof is down, and it is secured and cleaned up and all we have to now is haul that stuff off. The guy that is coming to get the black car, I talked to him today, and he is supposed to come get that car. I told him that if he didn't, then he needs to tell me where he wants it because I have got to move it.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, have you completed the detailed plans for improvements on that structure?

Mr. Hennesy replied, part of it, but not all of it. What we are dealing with now is getting back from that corner, getting back a little bit so they can see around that corner there. Or they might put four way stop

signs there. So I'm dealing with some people right now weather I want to do that, that's why I never tore the whole building down. Because if I tare the whole building down I can't building back and I would loose 20 feet. Then the next thing the guys told me to come down here and get a permit, I want to instead of just nailing that roof down, I wanted to tare that roof off and get a permit and just tare that tin roof off. We are not going to reuse that tin, we are going to just tare that tin off and put a new roof on that part and the rest of that building is going to be blocked and steel beams across, because that is the only way it is going to hold up. Until they get though with that blue print, I have a drawing here now. So, on that ten day deal there was no way that we could do that in ten days.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, have secured the back of that building?

Mr. Hennesy replied, yes I secured the back of the building and got the tin. He has not been up there because we secured all that yesterday. The tin and what have you and got up there and stewed. I had to have someone light enough to get up there, so the roof was taken off yesterday.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, would you agree or disagree with me that the motion made at the last meeting and the prerequisites that were made at the last meeting were not met, as of this meeting?

Mr. Hennesy replied, I disagree because they were not always met, but I did try, and the next thing.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, the reason I ask is, that I have sat on this Board for four or five years, but I know that the property has been in that condition for at least that long.

Mr. Hennesy replied, that is why they kept taking my permit every time we started work on it.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, it seems like we always come back to a he said she said type situation.

Mr. Hennesy stated, I'm telling you, in other words I'm being called a liar when I had several permits and I got the permits, I'll bring them down here and let you see them.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, his concern with the case is that it has gone for so long and that I know that from the last meeting, and I'm sorry that I had to be out of town and miss that one. From the last meeting I think you understood the levity of what was taking place and the fact that they set out some real black and white parameters for you to follow, that were not met. If that was my property and they said hey in ten days you have to have this done or else, then I'm err on the side of cation and think 10 days from that day, verse 10 days from date of the letter, because the other alternative I'm assuming is that those directions weren't met then the building would go on the demolition list. Is that correct?

Mr. Lewis stated, that would be up to the Board.

Mr. Jones stated, yes, that is correct.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, I don't relish or cherish the ideal of destroying or tearing down any of your property, I would prefer that properties in our community like Mr. Ollie did would repaired. I don't think from past performance and in the last 30 days that this property is going to be repaired.

Mr. Hennesy stated I have people, if we would had our permit we could have had done what I was going to do, I can't do nothing without a permit, then my lawyer tells me that if I own the building that I can work

on it myself or relatives can work on the building. He is telling me that I can't, so what's to that.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated as far as the ownership of the building Sir, I'm not sure, I don't know.

Mr. Hennesy stated all I want is to repair my building, I don't want no trouble, all I want to do is repair my building, Sir.

Ms. Howard inquired, weren't you instructed to get with Roy and get a permit and submit the details before this meeting.

Mr. Hennesy replied I did that and I could not get one. I called Roy and asked him if I could come down and get a permit and he said I could not .

Ms. Howard inquired, do you have with you today a detailed plan?

Mr. Hennesy replied I've got it right there, my son has it.

Ms. Howard inquired if they could see it. Because it has been 30 days since our last meeting and I drove by there and you passed me, I was there at 2:15 P. M. and in 30 days I see that the car has been uncovered and some boards have been added, but I saw no true effort at compliance that this Board voted on at the last meeting.

Mr. Hennesy stated, you will see what you want to ma'am.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated I don't think that would be a fair comment to make.

Mr. Hennesy stated, you know what, I have made up my mind that I'm going to repair that building in the next month since it is exiting there now.

Mr. Jones stated could we make an agreement that the repairs to the inspectors, the Building Official's satisfaction with in 30 days or we demolish it.

Mr. Hennesy replied, you got it. What I'm going to do is repair that building and make that building look nice and then I'll worry about building the rest of it later. It will be closed off the cement will be cleaned off and all that will be gone, we are going to repair that building and put new siding on it. We are going to take that wall out and cut a big spot out of that wall and put 2 - by 4's back up in there and make it straight and put new siding on it.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated I have to respect your motion do we have second to that motion.

Mr. Lewis stated, before you do that shouldn't you see if there are any more comments from the audience.

Mr. Jones stated, that he was not making a motion.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, Mr. Hennesy if you would leave the podium for a minute I'll let you come back up and talk.

Mr. Henry stated, I assume that all the Board has looked at this property. It is still a definite fire hazard it

is still a definite health hazard, if there has been any debris removed from the property it's been minimal it's not enough to really notice. The grass has been cut where it can be cut. The car is still there, and obviously the Board relies the long term efforts to abate all of that.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, Mr. Hennesy. My inclination toward this property is to order it on the demolition list. I don't know what your recourse would be after that, and we are charged as citizens like yourself sitting on this Board and volunteers to make decision based on the benefit of the community of Gainesville. That property sat in that condition for ten years I don't think that your not a man of your word but we are also challenged and charged here with fulfilling our obligation on this Building and Standards Board. You were given very distinct charges at the last meeting to be done within 30 days, and you did not do those.

Mr. Hennesy stated to do what.

Mr. Goldsworthy replied, to have it secured in ten days, and to have the debris removed in ten days.

Mr. Hennesy stated, well I miss understood Marcia, when I got that certified letter and she made a mistake and I made a mistake.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, were you at the last meeting.

Mr. Hennesy replied, I sure was. I thought it had changed when said from the date of this letter, and that was the 16<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, so at that last meeting they made the motion to secure the structure, to remove the debris, which I did drive by on my way over and the debris is still there, you have made an effort to mow the property around the debris that is remaining. It is quite a hazard for the neighborhood with the children and what not playing on that property. It would be a more attractive nuisance with the lot mowed, and the debris still remaining.

Mr. Hennesy stated, like I said I want 30 days to finish my building.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, what recourse does he have if we order this on the demolition list, to take that back to Council.

Ms. Adams replied, he has the right to appeal to district court.

Mr. Jones inquired, how long could that take.

Ms. Adams replied, up to 30 days.

Mr. Jones stated, once he appeals it could drag out.

Mr. Hennesy inquired, I went around taking pictures today and I know for a fact that this car, I told Roy, I've told, the car has been setting there since ya'll started messing with me about my property, and the guys what they told me I refused to believe but I need ya'll to see all these pictures because it's still setting there. Just like the car setting there at my building, there is a mustang setting over there on Chestnut street and it has been setting there for 12 years it had a convertible top but the top, but the top is rotted out of it. There at Scott and Chestnut there is a house there with grass as tall as I am, I've got pictures of and I'm

going to print them off of this camera and I also went around taken pictures of another eye sore up there where the feed mill used to be on Dixon Street. Then you got Ronnie Herr next door with all those barrels and things setting out there. You talk about hazard and fire hazard, you need to go up there and look at that. Now I got a problem, I got a real problem and I'm going to tell ya'll just what is on my mind. When I can go around and take these pictures and there are about 50 pictures in here that I have went around and taken. There is a house up there on Dixon that has been burnt for two years, there is a house setting over there on Culberson and Rockwall the guy has been working on it for 5 years and the back of it is open. Now you did not see that.

Ms. Howard stated, it has never been brought to my attention.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, I don't doubt that are numerous locations in our community and that is why we have the G. R. A. N. D. Project and whatnot to improve the aesthetics in the neighborhood in our community. The difference between those progenies and perhaps is that a complaint at one juncture was filled against your property or else it would not come to this Board, it's not our charge to patrol the neighborhoods to find progenies. Now if I'm driving around now hear me out since I listened to you, and see a location that's was destroyed I would tell Roy its in bad repair. Until it is brought to his attention and in turn brought to us and to an open forum it's not discussed. If you told Roy of a location that was in disrepair and need to be repaired like yours is and has for numerous years it would then be our charge to address that property. So thank you for doing that and if those properties do need to be addressed then we need to do that.

Mr. Hennesy stated, I talked to him about it a month ago and before that, that's about the mustang, when Nick Blanton was over there that mustang setting there when they were on us then and Bullard and what have you. That mustang has been setting there that long and that wall has been standing up there for I don't know how long up there around Ronnie Herr and the gentleman across the Street that has the lawnmowers you know they have been on him, and he asked the same question, but I was told that the guy was on the Council at one time and I can understand that, and it does have some bearing on it. I can name another one that had 9 cars sitting in his yard on Clements Street.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, I believe there are some new ordinances against that, I'm not sure.

Mr. Hennesy stated, what I'm saying and there is nothing being did about it and I want to go to district court, sure do.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, are there any other comments?

Mr. Lewis stated, well this property has now and in the past had high grass and all these things that we call trash, which are not necessarily trash to Mr. Hennesy on the property, it is a matter of onion as he stated at the last meeting you weren't here. According to the nuisance ordinance and probably this substandard ordinance, in here somewhere in the verbiage it is a hazard to public health and safety and has been that way for sometime. It hasn't really improved.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, do we then close the public and make our recommendations, is that how this works.

Mr. Lewis replied, once you have taken all the testimony from the audience you close that part of the hearing. Then you reopen it for the Boards discussion.

**Tenth Item of Business is to Close the public hearing on this case.**

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, ok so we will close the public hearing at this time.

**Eleventh item of Business is to Discuss and Consider and make a determination on 314/316 West Scott street, also know as 322 West Scott Street. Case number BS-99-28.**

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, we will reopen this case for our conservation. As I have stated this property has been on our list for years. No real progress has been made and we are charged with at some point with taking action. At the last meeting there were several distinct points made to be completed by this meeting that were not and in light of that I make a motion that this structure be put demolition list.

Mr. Jones stated, that he would second the motion.

Ms. Adams inquired, before you take the vote, can I ask Mr. Lewis if he has provided to you a copy of the sheet that shows the violations, for the record I think we need to establish that the Board has this record, if he could just run through some of the violations, and explain to the Board that the nature of the violation and the impact that these violation have on the structural integrate of that building. I think that is what the Board needs to do before voting.

Mr. Lewis stated, ok this the latest inspection sheet which was done on the 12<sup>th</sup> of June, there were previous ones before this which are in the other records in the other building, but I checked the lack of hot and cold running water and plumbing fixture. There is no water service there but. We denied him water service on the bases that it was a substandard structure. It has lack of heating facility, there is no gas connected to the building. Lack or improper operation of required ventilation equipment. There is no ventilation other than some air flowing through broken windows or other points of access to the building which could lead to problems such as black mold. If you have an area in there that is getting wet from rain water or something and staying that way. I have not checked the building for that but things like that, are possible when don't have proper ventilation. I had originally checked the lack of electrical lighting but that building does have power to it. General dilapidation and improper maintenance, this is fairly obvious from looking at the photographs entered into evidence. The condition of the roof and the siding and no paint has been applied to the building for untold years, so the exterior in many ways is not weather proof. You have members of walls and potions or other vertical support, that's split, leans, list or buckled do to defective material or deterioration and you can see that in part of the building, well he has torn most of it down now, but that back part where that car was inclosed was in bad shape, recently before he started tarring that back part off. Members of roof, ceiling and supports, which sag, split or buckled. You can see that in part of the roof that is still there. That would also include the loose metal that was on there before, which is near the electric service. If a piece of that tin had come loose and blown up around the electric wires, you would have a short that would have probably blown out a transformer at the very least, if that had accord. Faulty water protection, comes from the broken windows and the holes in the roof. I touched on this before, deteriorated of ineffective weather proofing of exterior walls, roof, foundations and floors, including broken or missing windows and doors and that is fairly obvious just form looking at it. This is in your packet, I believe. Broken, rotted or split or buckled exterior wall coverings and roof coverings, that is also oblivious from looking at the photographs that I entered into evidence. Fire hazard, the building is full of the storage of material and lately, the most of it came from his restaurant that was closed down the street, but before that he had, and I don't know if it is still in there, but he had a lot of paint cans in there and whatever else he was storing in there and if you had a fire, you would not be able to get in there to fight the fire because there is almost no room to get in there to do anything, and the building was not secured up until recently.

Mr. Jones inquired, Do I hear him correctly when he was talking about securing the roofing, that he had to wait until he could find someone light enough to get on the roof, so it did not collapse under them.

Mr. Lewis replied, I believe that is what he stated.

Ms. Howard stated, I would just like to comment that the motion that was passed at our last meeting is that the property was to be secured in ten days, the schedule of repairs and plans were to be brought at that time and it was going to come back to us in this 30 days and that all debris was to have been removed from the ground, and the grass was to have been cut, and this was the motion that was passed by this Board just 30 days ago and what we saw was a little stick drawing. There is no detailed plan, the debris is still there.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, let me restate my motion then, that due to the condition of the property that Roy did view and the fact that the parameter from the last meeting have not been met, that this structure should be put on the demolition list.

Ms. Howard stated, I will second that motion.

Ms. Russell stated, before we vote us and in light of last months meeting when there was testimony that Mr. Hennesy had to refused G. R. A. N. D. Project assistance and there was testimony from the police department about the hazards of children having access to those buildings and that there has been drug activity there or that they suspect that it has. We have testimony from Fire Inspectors stating that it is a fire hazard to the public health and well being. 30 days ago Mr. Hennesy stated and it is in our minutes that he just needed the 30 days to repair this building and in light of the fact that so little has been done when he did say that he could repair the building in 30 days, he's telling us that again and we have seen that no action to back up his words, so I'm going to vote in support of Mr. Goldsworthy's motion.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, we have a motion and a second, all in favor say I, all apposed next case.

**AYES: Jones, Russell, Howard and Goldsworthy NAYS: None ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Robinson and Spicer ABSTENTIONS: None.**

Mr. Lewis inquired, is that for immediate demolisation, demolition by Mr. Hennesy in thirty days or what.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, to be placed immediately on the City's demo list.

Mr. Hennesy inquired, I thought that I had 30 days to file.

Mr. Lewis replied, you have 30 days to appeal the finding of the Board.

Mr. Hennesy inquired, what do you mean appeal?

Mr. Jones replied, to take us to court.

Mr. Hennesy stated, I thought that I had to go file in district court.

Mr. Lewis replied, that is correct.

Mr. Hennesy stated, that is what I will do tomorrow, are ya'll going to send me a letter to affect.

Mr. Lewis replied, yes sir.

Mr. Hennesy stated, ok, then I guess I'll call channel 12 over here and show them these pictures, that's what I'll do.

**Twelveth Item of Business.** Open public hearing.

**Thirteenth Item of Business.** Report to the Commission on the investigation of the condition of the structure located at 723 N. Weaver Street. Case Number BS-01-11.

After some discussion by staff and the Board, you can not secure that building.

Ms. Howard stated, can you not just secured that door way with a piece of ply-wood. I can see children walking by and things like that.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, it should be down within 60 days that's the outside wait, it may be down tomorrow. Is there anything else on this property.

**Fourteenth item of Business.** Close the Public Hearing.

**Fifteenth item of business.** Commission discussion, consideration and action on the determination of the Building Official on the substandard condition of the structure located 723 N. Weaver Street. Case number BS-02-11.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, do we need to make a monition on this.

Mr. Jones stated, that he make a motion that this item should be tabled at this time.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, that he would abstain from voting on this item.

Ms. Russell stated, that she would second the motion.

**AYES: Jones, Russell and Howard NAYS: None ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Robinson and Spicer  
ABSTENTIONS: Goldsworthy.**

**Sixteenth item of business.** To open the public hearing.

**Seventeenth Item of Business.** Report to the Commission on the investigation of the condition of the structure located at 1115 N. Dixon Street. Case Number BS-02-11.

After staff had given the report to the Commission. The owner did send a letter stating that in the next week or two the house should be sold.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, is there anyone here to represent this property.

Mr. Sicking stated, we will buy this property.

Mr. Lewis stated, after I go over this inspections list you can then have this copy. No gas service, no hot

or cold running water, no heating, no ventilation equipment, no power, infested by rats, house is full of trash and junk, the garage and shed is full of trash. Tires, batteries, engine block in the front yard, there are 4 junk cars in the rear yard. Someone has attempted to level the foundation and replace it with concrete blocks and it needs some more work, and places inside where the floors have been patched and are weak, the ceiling is falling down in the front bedroom, there are some pieces of siding missing, the rear door is standing open.

Mr. Sicking stated, I don't think that anyone has been in that house in over four years, there were jars of money setting on the table.

Mr. Lewis stated, it is a good idea not to go into any of these structure.

**Eighteenth item of business.** Close public hearing.

**Nineteenth Item of business.** to discuss, consider and act on the determination of the Building Official on the substandard condition of the structures located at 1115N. Dixon Street. Case number BS-02-12.

**Twentieth item of business.** To open public hearing.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, I make the motion that the back door be secured, that the Sickings obtain a building permit within 30 days of closing on the property and have remodeling work done within 60 days of the date of permit.

Mr. Jones stated, I will second that motion.

**AYES: Jones, Russell, Goldsworthy and Howard NAYS: None ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Robinson and Spicer ABSTENTIONS: None**

**Twenty First Item of Business.** Report to the Commission on the investigation of the condition of the structure located at 1918 Floral Drive. Case Number BS-02-13.

The Building Official gave a report on the condition of the structure. Pictures were entered into evidence.

**Twenty second item of business.** Close public hearing.

**Twenty third item of business.** Discuss, consider and act on the determination of the Building Official on the substandard condition of the structures located at 1918 Floral Drive, Case number BS-02-13

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, I make a motion to table with a 90 day review.

Mr. Jones stated, I will second the motion.

**AYES: Jones, Russell, Goldsworthy and Howard NAYS: None ABSENT: Doty, Broyles, Robinson and Spicer ABSTENTIONS: None**

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, what is the is the statics of 603 Muller, we had on the demolish list a while back.

Mr. Lewis stated, that it correct and public works will do those as they get time.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated, how long ago did we put that one on there.

Mr. Lewis replied, I believe it was the meeting before last. We had to send the property owner a letter to tare down within 30 days and then it went to public works after that.

Mr. Goldsworthy inquired, how many do we have on the list now to tare down.

Mr. Lewis replied, we had 3 on the list and 2 more to give to them. So that would be a total of 5 as soon as I get all the paper work over there.

**Adjourned:** 4:56 p.m